Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/786,009	XU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William W. Moore	1652
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) William W. Moore, Examiner.	(3)	
(2) Dr. Harriet Strimpel, Applicant's Counsel.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 August 2004	Time: <u>10:15AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed:		
12, 17, 22, 25, 28, and 29		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a wrecord of the substance of the	itten summary of the substance ne interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Authorization was requested of, and received from, Applicant's Counsel for an examiner's amendmentamending clauses (a) and (b) of claims 12, 17, 22, 25, and 28 to provide uniform descriptions of components & processes, to divide final clauses of claims 12, 17, 22, 25, and 28 to state a final process followed by a terminal resolution clause reflecting the claim preamble, and to amend claims 28 and 29 to restate the nature of an inactive polypeptide related to its activation, the nature of the activity, and to clarify the orientation of reactivation.